CHAMBERS COPY-DO NOT FILE 1 MARK L. HOGGE (Pro Hac Vice) hoggem@gtlaw.com 2 SHAILENDRA K. MAHESHWARI (Pro Hac Vice) maheshwaris@gtlaw.com 3 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 2101 L Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20037 4 Telephone: (202) 331-3100 Facsimile: (202) 331-3101 5 J. JAMES LI (SBN 202855) 6 lij@gtlaw.com 7 DAVID PEREZ (SBN 238136) perezdi@gtlaw.com GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 8 1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor 9 East Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 328-8500 10 Facsimile: (650) 328-8508 11 Attorneys for Defendant, ATRUA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 15 AUTHENTEC, INC., a Delaware Corporation, Case No. 608-01423 PJH (WDB) 16 Plaintiff, POSEDI ORDER GRANTING 17 DEEENDANT AND 18 **COUNTERCLAIMANT ATRUA** ATRUA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a California **TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S** Corporation 19 **ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO** FILE UNDER SEAL, PURSUANT TO Defendant(s). 20 CIVIL L.R. 79-5(d) 21 ATRUA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a California Corporation 22 Counterclaimant 23 VS. AUTHENTEC, INC., a Delaware Corporation. 24 Counterdefendants. 25 26 27 28

Case No. C08-01423 PJH (WDB)

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIMANT ATRUA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL, PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 79-5(d)

371788250v1

Upon consideration of all papers filed and all arguments presented with respect to Defendant and Counterclaimant Atrua Technologies, Inc.'s ("Atrua") Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, Pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5(d), and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) is conditionally GRANTED;
 - 2. Exhibits B to the Perez Decl. shall be filed <u>conditionally</u> under seal; and
- 3. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5(d), AuthenTec is hereby ordered, by February 27, 2009, to file with the Court and serve a declaration establishing that Exhibit B is sealable, and lodge and serve a narrowly tailored proposed sealing order, or must withdraw the designation of confidentiality. If AuthenTec does not file the responsive declaration, Exhibit B will be made part of the public record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Y}$, 2009

MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRAZIL

Case No. C08-01423 PJH (WDB)